

KEK-TH-419
 KEK Preprint 94-158
 November 1994
 H

CP Violation in $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$

S.Y. Choi, K. Hagiwara, M. Tanabashi

Theory Group, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

Abstract

We consider CP violating effects in the decays $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$ where both the $J^P = 1^+$ resonance, a_1 , and $J^P = 0^-$ resonance, π' , can contribute. The interference between the a_1 and π' resonances can lead to enhanced CP-violating asymmetries whose magnitudes depend crucially on the π' decay constant, $f_{\pi'}$. We make an estimate of $f_{\pi'}$ with a simplified chiral Lagrangian coupled to a massive pseudoscalar field, and we compare the estimates from the non-relativistic quark model and from the QCD sum rule with the estimate from the ‘mock’ meson model. We then estimate quantitatively the size of CP-violating effects in a multi-Higgs-doublet model and scalar-leptoquark models. We find that, while CP-violating effects in the scalar-leptoquark models may require more than 10^{10} τ leptons, CP-violating effects from the multi-Higgs-doublet model can be seen at the 2σ level with about 10^7 τ leptons using the chiral Lagrangian estimate of $f_{\pi'} = (1 \sim 5) \times 10^{-3}$ GeV.

1 Introduction

The τ has the same interaction structure as the e and the μ in the Standard Model (SM), apart from their masses. However, for practical purposes[1] the τ lepton, the most massive of the known leptons, behaves quite differently from the e and μ leptons in that (i) the τ has hadronic decay modes[2, 3] (e.g. $\tau \rightarrow \pi\nu, \rho\nu, a_1\nu, \dots$) which allow an efficient measurement of its polarization[2, 3, 4] and (ii) the couplings of the τ to neutral and charged Higgs bosons[5, 6, 7] are expected to dominate those of the e and μ . These features make the τ a rather special experimental probe of new physics.

One phenomenon where new physics can play a crucial role is CP violation. Currently CP violation has been detected only in the K meson system. The SM explains the effect adequately through a phase in the Kobayashi-Maskawa (KM) matrix[8]. However, it is also possible that other sources of CP violation exist in nature. Recently the τ decays into hadrons have been considered as probes of such a non-KM-type of CP violation in the scalar sector of physics beyond the SM. Nelson *et al.*[9] have considered the so-called stage-two spin-correlation functions to detect CP violation in the decay $\tau \rightarrow (2\pi)\nu_\tau$, while in the same two-pion decay mode Tsai[10] has suggested tests of CP violation with longitudinally polarized electron and positron beams at τ -Charm factories. On the other hand, Kilan *et al.*[11] have studied $\tilde{T}$ -odd triple momentum correlations in τ decays into $K\pi\pi$ and $K\pi K$.

In the present work we consider the possibility of probing CP violation in the decay of the τ into three charged pions, $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$, where both the $J^P = 1^+$ resonance, a_1 , and $J^P = 0^-$ resonance, π' , can contribute. In particular, we investigate whether the large widths of these resonances in the decay of the τ can be used to enhance CP-violation effects in extensions of the SM with scalar-fermion interactions which are consistent with the symmetries of the SM; the importance of broad resonances has been emphasized in the context of the top quark[12] and the B meson[13] in the last few years.

In order to observe CP-violating effects there should exist not only a CP-violating phase but also processes interfering with different CP-conserving phases. In τ decays one can in general have a CP-violating phase between the W -exchange diagram and scalar-exchange diagrams in extensions of the SM such as a multi-Higgs-doublet models and scalar-leptoquark models. On the other hand, resonance enhancements of transition amplitudes and their coherent superposition can provide a large CP-conserving phase difference which leads to a significant enhancement of CP violating observables.

The decay amplitudes of a τ lepton into three pions, $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$, are dominated by contributions of the two overlapping resonances with different spins and relatively large width-to-mass ratios[14] :

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 : & \quad J^P = 1^+, \quad m_{a_1} = 1.251 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Gamma_{a_1} = 0.599 \text{ GeV}, \\ \pi' : & \quad J^P = 0^-, \quad m_{\pi'} = 1.300 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Gamma_{\pi'} = 0.300 \text{ GeV}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here we should note that even the parameters of the a_1 are not accurately determined.

In the 3π decay mode of the τ various phenomenological parametrizations[15, 16, 17, 14] of the form factors have been used to analyze experimental data[18, 19]. We adopt the parametrization of the τ -decay library, TAUOLA[14], where the π' parameters given in eq. (1.1) can also be found.

The three-charged-pion decay mode of the τ is promising for the detection of CP violation due to the following reasons. First, no tagging of the other τ is necessary. Second, the three-charged-pion mode can be measured not only at the conventional machines (e.g. CESR and LEP[1]) but also at the planned B factories and at τ -Charm factories[20] where many τ leptons (yearly 10^7 to 10^8) are expected to be produced. Third, reconstruction of the τ rest frame is easy for the three-prong decay modes. Since at least two neutrinos escape detection it is in general difficult to reconstruct the τ rest frame in $\tau^+\tau^-$ production. There are a few situations where the rest frame of the τ can actually be reconstructed. One is τ -pair production close to threshold where τ leptons are produced at rest. This possibility can be realized at future τ -Charm factories[20]. Another is when both τ leptons decay into hadrons. In this case impact-parameter methods[21] allow us to reconstruct the rest frame of the τ even for τ 's in flight. However, in the three-charged-pion decay mode, the direction of the τ can be directly reconstructed through the precise and simultaneous determination of the τ production and decay points.

On the other hand, it is often difficult to make a reliable quantitative prediction for CP violation in hadronic τ -decay modes due to the uncertainty in the hadronic matrix elements. This problem can, however, be removed by considering final states dominated by at least two neighboring resonances. The precise experimental determination of the widths and masses of the resonances will give a reliable handle on the calculation of CP asymmetries. In fact, the parametrization of the three-pion decay mode has been investigated quite thoroughly by many authors. Exhaustive discussion of the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ is given in Refs. [22, 23, 16]. A complete parametrization of the $a_1/\pi' \rightarrow 3\pi$ decay currents can be found in the TAUOLA, which adopts from Ref. [16] $f_{\pi'} = 0.02 \sim 0.08\text{GeV}$. However, the quoted value of $f_{\pi'}$ may be overestimated because the mixing between the chiral pion field and a massive pseudoscalar $q\bar{q}$ bound state should be considered. Since any CP-violating effect in the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ depends on $f_{\pi'}$, it is crucial to estimate its magnitude. We devote one section to this issue.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the decay distribution for $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$ is presented. Its general form and our resonance parametrizations are given explicitly. In section 3 CP-violating asymmetries in the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ are introduced. In section 4 the multi-Higgs-doublet (MHD) model[24, 6] and scalar-leptoquark (SLQ) models[25] are introduced as examples of models which can generate CP-violating effects in the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$. In section 5 we estimate the magnitude of $f_{\pi'}$ by employing a simplified chiral Lagrangian coupled to a massive pseudoscalar field. Finally, in section 6 the possibility to detect CP violation in the three charged pion decay mode of the τ is discussed quantitatively. Section 7 summarizes our findings.

2 Distributions

The matrix element for the decay $\tau^- \rightarrow (3\pi)^- \nu_\tau$ is written in the form:

$$M = \sqrt{2}G_F \left[(1 + \chi) \bar{u}(k, -) P_+ \gamma^\mu u(p, \sigma) J_\mu + \eta \bar{u}(k, -) P_+ u(p, \sigma) J_P \right], \quad (2.1)$$

with $P_\pm = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2$. Here G_F is the Fermi constant; p and k are the four-momenta of the τ lepton and the tau neutrino, respectively. χ and η are complex numbers parametrizing the contribution from physics beyond the SM. The spin-quantization direction of the τ^- is taken to be the direction opposite to the neutrino momentum (See Fig. 1(a).) and its helicity is denoted by σ ($\sigma = \pm 1$). The tau neutrino is left-handed, so its helicity is -1 as indicated by $u(k, -)$. J_μ and J_P are the vector and scalar hadronic matrix elements, respectively, and are given by

$$J_\mu = \langle (3\pi)^- | \bar{d} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) u | 0 \rangle, \quad J_P = \langle (3\pi)^- | \bar{d} (1 + \gamma_5) u | 0 \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Both the a_1 and π' resonances contribute to J_μ while only the pseudoscalar state, π' , contributes to J_P . The explicit form of J_μ is found e.g. in the TAUOLA, and the hadronic (pseudo)-scalar current, J_P , is determined from the Dirac equation via the expression for J_μ :

$$J_\mu = N \left\{ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} T^{\mu\nu} [(q_2 - q_3)_\nu F_1(q^2, s_1) + (q_1 - q_3)_\nu F_2(q^2, s_2)] + q^\mu C_{\pi'} [s_1(s_2 - s_3) F_3(q^2, s_1) + s_2(s_1 - s_3) F_4(q^2, s_2)] \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$J_{P\pm} = \frac{1}{m_u + m_d} q^\mu J_\mu \approx \frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{m_u + m_d} N C_{\pi'} [s_1(s_2 - s_3) F_3(q^2, s_1) + s_2(s_1 - s_3) F_4(q^2, s_2)]. \quad (2.4)$$

Here q_1 and q_2 are the four-momenta of two identical π^- 's, q_3 is the four-momentum of the π^+ , and q is the four-momentum of the $(3\pi)^-$ system in the decay of the τ^- . m_u and m_d are the current masses of the u and d quarks, respectively. The invariant mass squared of the 3π system, q^2 , and the three kinematic invariants, s_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), are defined in terms of the three pion momenta, q_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), as

$$q^2 = (q_1 + q_2 + q_3)^2, \quad s_1 = (q_2 + q_3)^2, \quad s_2 = (q_3 + q_1)^2, \quad s_3 = (q_1 + q_2)^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Also, $T^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - q^\mu q^\nu / q^2$. The form factors, F_i ($i = 1$ to 4), are normalized such that $F_i(0, 0) = 1$, and the coefficient $2\sqrt{2}/3$ in (2.3) is fixed by the soft pion theorem. TAUOLA uses the parameters

$$N = \frac{\cos \theta_C}{f_\pi}, \quad C_{\pi'} = \frac{g_{\pi' \rho \pi} g_{\rho \pi \pi} f_{\pi'} f_\pi}{m_{\rho'}^4 m_{\pi'}^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

and adopts the parameter values

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \theta_C &= 0.973, & m_\rho &= 0.773 \text{ GeV}, \\ f_\pi &= 0.0933 \text{ GeV}, & f_{\pi'} &= 0.02 \text{ GeV}, \\ g_{\pi'\rho\pi} &= 5.8, & g_{\rho\pi\pi} &= 6.08.\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

It is convenient to cast the decay amplitude (2.1) into the form

$$M = \sqrt{2}G_F(1 + \chi) \left[\sum_\lambda L_{\sigma\lambda} H_\lambda + (1 + \xi) L_{\sigma s} H_s \right], \tag{2.8}$$

where the parameter ξ is given in terms of the χ and η in eq. (2.1) by

$$\xi = \frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{(m_u + m_d)m_\tau} \left(\frac{\eta - \chi}{1 + \chi} \right). \tag{2.9}$$

The $\tau^- \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ transition amplitudes, $L_{\sigma\lambda}$ ($\lambda = 0, \pm$) and $L_{\sigma s}$, in eq. (2.8) are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\sigma\lambda} &= \bar{u}(k, -) \gamma^\mu P_- u(p, \sigma) \epsilon_\mu^*(q, \lambda), \\ L_{\sigma s} &= \bar{u}(k, -) P_+ u(p, \sigma),\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

where the polarization vector $\epsilon(q, \lambda)$ of the virtual vector meson a_1^- satisfies

$$\sum_{\lambda=0,\pm} \epsilon^\mu(q, \lambda) \epsilon^{*\nu}(q, \lambda) = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{q^\mu q^\nu}{q^2}. \tag{2.11}$$

The purely leptonic amplitudes are known functions of the kinematic variables and are given in the convention of Ref. [26] by

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\sigma+} &= 0, \\ L_{\sigma 0} &= \frac{m_\tau}{\sqrt{q^2}} \sqrt{m_\tau^2 - q^2} \delta_{\sigma+}, \\ L_{\sigma-} &= \sqrt{2} \sqrt{m_\tau^2 - q^2} \delta_{\sigma-}, \\ L_{\sigma s} &= \sqrt{m_\tau^2 - q^2} \delta_{\sigma+}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

The hadronic matrix elements, H_λ ($\lambda = 0, \pm$) and H_s , are

$$H_\lambda = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} N \epsilon_\mu(q, \lambda) [(q_2 - q_3)^\mu F_1(q^2, s_1) + (q_1 - q_3)^\mu F_2(q^2, s_2)], \tag{2.13}$$

$$H_s = N m_\tau C_{\pi'} [s_1(s_2 - s_3) F_3(q^2, s_1) + s_2(s_1 - s_3) F_4(q^2, s_2)]. \tag{2.14}$$

Assuming single meson dominance in each channel TAUOLA expresses the form factors F_i ($i = 1$ to 4) in terms of the meson propagators as

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(q^2, s_1) &= B_{a_1}(q^2) B_\rho(s_1), & F_2(q^2, s_2) &= B_{a_1}(q^2) B_\rho(s_2), \\ F_3(q^2, s_1) &= B_{\pi'}(q^2) B_\rho(s_1), & F_4(q^2, s_2) &= B_{\pi'}(q^2) B_\rho(s_2).\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

The a_1 , π' , and ρ meson propagators are parametrized[14] in the Breit-Wigner form with momentum-dependent widths:

$$B_X(q^2) = \frac{m_X^2}{m_X^2 - q^2 - im_X \Gamma_X(q^2)}, \quad \Gamma_X(q^2) = \Gamma_X \left(\frac{f_X(q^2)}{f_X(m_X^2)} \right), \quad (2.16)$$

for $X = a_1$, π' or ρ . The momentum-dependence of all widths has been determined from experimental data. The parametrizations of the widths available from the TAUOLA are:

$$f_{a_1}(q^2) = \begin{cases} q^2[1.623 + 10.38/q^2 - 9.32/q^4 + 0.65/q^6], & \text{for } q^2 > (m_\rho + m_\pi)^2 \\ 4.1(q^2 - 9m_\pi^2)^3[1 - 3.3(q^2 - 9m_\pi^2) + 5.8(q^2 - 9m_\pi^2)^2], & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

$$f_{\pi'}(q^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{q^2} \left(\frac{P_\pi(q^2)}{P_\pi(m_\rho^2)} \right)^5, & \text{for } q^2 > (m_\rho + m_\pi)^2 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

$$f_\rho(q^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{m_\rho}{\sqrt{q^2}} \left(\frac{q^2 - 4m_\pi^2}{m_\rho^2 - 4m_\pi^2} \right)^{3/2}, & \text{for } q^2 > (2m_\pi)^2 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

P_π in eq. (2.18) denotes the momentum of the π in the (virtual) π' rest frame. In addition to the parameters (1.1) and (2.7) TAUOLA adopts for the ρ meson width

$$\Gamma_\rho = 0.145 \text{ GeV}. \quad (2.20)$$

The amplitude for the τ^+ decay into $(3\pi)^+$, which is the CP-conjugated process of the τ^- decay into $(3\pi)^-$, can be determined in the same manner as that of the τ^- decay into $(3\pi)^-$. For the sake of discussion we use the same kinematic variables as in the τ^- decay. In the τ^+ decay q_1 and q_2 are the four-momenta of two identical π^+ 's, q_3 is the four-momentum of the π^- , and q is the four-momentum of the $(3\pi)^+$ system. The decay amplitude $\bar{M}$ for the process $\tau^+ \rightarrow (3\pi)^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau$ can be written in the form

$$\bar{M} = \sqrt{2}G_F(1 + \bar{\chi}) \left[\sum_\lambda \bar{L}_{\sigma\lambda} \bar{H}_\lambda + (1 + \bar{\xi}) \bar{L}_{\sigma s} \bar{H}_s \right]. \quad (2.21)$$

The $\tau^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\tau$ transition amplitudes, $\bar{L}_{\sigma\lambda}$ ($\lambda = 0, \pm$) and $\bar{L}_{\sigma s}$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_{\sigma\lambda} &= \bar{v}(p, \sigma) \gamma^\mu P_- v(k, +) \epsilon_\mu^*(q, \lambda), \\ \bar{L}_{\sigma s} &= \bar{v}(p, \sigma) P_+ v(k, +), \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where $\epsilon(q, \lambda)$ is the polarization vector of the virtual vector meson a_1^+ with the helicity value λ . We note that the hadronic amplitudes, $\bar{H}_\lambda$ ($\lambda = 0, \pm$) and $\bar{H}_s$, for the decay

$\tau^+ \rightarrow (3\pi)^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau$ are the same as the hadronic amplitudes $H_\lambda(\lambda = 0, \pm)$ and H_s for the decay $\tau^- \rightarrow (3\pi)^- \nu_\tau$:

$$\bar{H}_\lambda = H_\lambda, \quad \bar{H}_s = H_s. \quad (2.23)$$

Hence it is straightforward to obtain each amplitude of the τ^+ decay into $(3\pi)^+$ from the corresponding τ^- decay amplitude (2.1) through the CP relations among the amplitudes and the couplings

$$\bar{L}_{\sigma\lambda} = (-1)^\lambda L_{-\sigma, -\lambda}, \quad \bar{L}_{\sigma s} = L_{-\sigma s}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\bar{\chi} = \chi^*, \quad \bar{\eta} = \eta^*, \quad (2.25)$$

in the approximation where the imaginary parts of the intermediate W and scalar propagators are neglected.

The decay of the $\tau^\pm$ into three charged pions depends on five independent kinematic variables. Because of two intermediate resonances, $a_1^\pm$ and $\pi'^\pm$, decaying into $(3\pi)^\pm$ it is convenient to consider both the $\tau^\pm$ rest frame and the $(3\pi)^\pm$ rest frame. We define two coordinate systems as shown in Fig. 1. The two coordinate systems have a common y -axis which is chosen along the $\vec{k} \times \vec{q}_3$ direction. Here $\vec{k}$ is the three-momentum of the tau neutrino. In the (x, y, z) coordinate system the z -axis is along the direction of the tau neutrino momentum, $\vec{k}$, and the momentum $\vec{q}_3$ is in the positive- x half-plane. The starred coordinate system is defined with a rotation by θ , the angle between $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{q}_3$, with respect to the common y -axis from the (x, y, z) coordinate system. We now consider the five variables; q^2 , s_1 , s_2 , θ , and the azimuthal angle ϕ^* of the $\pi^\pm$ momentum $\vec{q}_1$ with respect to the x^* -axis in the starred coordinate system (See Fig. 1(b).)

The range of the variables is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (3m_\pi)^2 &\leq q^2 \leq m_\tau^2, & (2m_\pi)^2 &\leq s_1 \leq (\sqrt{q^2} - m_\pi)^2, \\ s_{2min} &\leq s_2 \leq s_{2max}, & 0 &\leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi^* \leq 2\pi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where for given q^2 and s_1 the minimum and maximum values of s_2 values are

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2min} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[q^2 + 3m_\pi^2 - s_1 - \sqrt{(1 - 4m_\pi^2/s_1)} \cdot \lambda^{1/2}(q^2, m_\pi^2, s_1) \right], \\ s_{2max} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[q^2 + 3m_\pi^2 - s_1 + \sqrt{(1 - 4m_\pi^2/s_1)} \cdot \lambda^{1/2}(q^2, m_\pi^2, s_1) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

with the well-known triangle function, $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2(xy + yz + zx)$. For simplicity we introduce the following notations:

$$\lambda_i = \lambda(q^2, m_\pi^2, s_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad G = \sqrt{-\lambda(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}. \quad (2.28)$$

Using the kinematic variables and notations we obtain analytic expressions of the hadronic decay amplitudes (2.14):

$$H_{\pm} = \mp \frac{N}{6\sqrt{q^2}\lambda_3} B_{a_1}(q^2) [A \sin \theta + B(\cos \theta \cos \phi^* \mp i \sin \phi^*)], \quad (2.29)$$

$$H_0 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}N}{6\sqrt{q^2}\lambda_3} B_{a_1}(q^2) [A \cos \theta - B \sin \theta \cos \phi^*], \quad (2.30)$$

$$H_s = \frac{Nm_{\tau}C_{\pi'}}{2} B_{\pi'}(q^2) [C], \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 3\lambda_3[B_{\rho}(s_1) + B_{\rho}(s_2)] - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)[B_{\rho}(s_1) - B_{\rho}(s_2)], \\ B &= G[B_{\rho}(s_1) - B_{\rho}(s_2)], \\ C &= 2s_1(s_2 - s_3)B_{\rho}(s_1) + 2s_2(s_1 - s_3)B_{\rho}(s_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

The hadronic amplitudes, H_{λ} ($\lambda = 0, \pm$) and H_s , are symmetric under the interchange of two identical pions. The functions A and C also are symmetric, while the function B is antisymmetric.

We denote the differential decay rates of the $\tau^{\mp}$ into three pions as

$$\begin{aligned} G(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) &= \frac{d^5}{dq^2 ds_1 ds_2 d\cos \theta d\phi^*} \Gamma[\tau^- \rightarrow (3\pi)^- \nu_{\tau}], \\ \bar{G}(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) &= \frac{d^5}{dq^2 ds_1 ds_2 d\cos \theta d\phi^*} \bar{\Gamma}[\tau^+ \rightarrow (3\pi)^+ \bar{\nu}_{\tau}], \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

and examine the consequences of CP invariance in the $\tau^{\mp}$ differential decay rates. The CP transformation should relate the decay $\tau^- \rightarrow (3\pi)^- \nu_{\tau}$ to the decay $\tau^+ \rightarrow (3\pi)^+ \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$. We find that CP invariance leads to the following relation in the differential decay rates:

$$G(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) \stackrel{\text{CP}}{=} \bar{G}(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, -\phi^*). \quad (2.34)$$

The relation enables us to construct a CP-conserving sum, Σ , and a CP-violating difference, Δ , of the differential $\tau^{\pm}$ decay rates:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= G(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) + \bar{G}(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, -\phi^*), \\ \Delta &= G(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) - \bar{G}(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, -\phi^*). \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

It is rather straightforward to obtain from the amplitudes (2.8) and (2.21) the analytic forms of Σ and Δ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= F(q^2) \left\{ 2|H_-|^2 + \frac{m_{\tau}^2}{q^2} |H_0|^2 + |1 + \xi|^2 |H_s|^2 - 2 \frac{m_{\tau}}{\sqrt{q^2}} [1 + \text{Re}(\xi)] [\text{Re}(H_0 H_s^*)] \right\}, \\ \Delta &= -2F(q^2) \frac{m_{\tau}}{\sqrt{q^2}} [\text{Im}(\xi)] [\text{Im}(H_0 H_s^*)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

where for notational convenience we have introduced the overall function $F(q^2)$

$$F(q^2) = \frac{G_F^2 m_\tau}{2^7 \pi^6} \frac{(1 - q^2/m_\tau^2)^2}{q^2} |1 + \chi|^2. \quad (2.37)$$

From the expression (2.36) we note two important features of the CP-violating distribution Δ ; (i) Every CP-violating asymmetry requires not only a non-vanishing $\text{Im}(\xi)$ but also requires the interference between the longitudinal a_1 mode and the π' mode, and (ii) the difference Δ depends only on $\cos \phi^*$, but it does not depend on $\sin \phi^*$ which is odd under the naive time reversal $\tilde{T}$ (See eqs. (2.30) and (2.31)). Here the transformation $\tilde{T}$ means $t \rightarrow -t$ without the interchange of initial and final states.

3 Asymmetries

As shown in section 2 every CP asymmetry requires not only a non-vanishing $\text{Im}(\xi)$ but also the interference between the longitudinal a_1 mode and the pseudoscalar π' mode; the interference is proportional to the $f_{\pi'}$. More explicitly Δ is proportional to the product of $f_{\pi'}$ and $\text{Im}(\xi)$,

$$\Delta \propto [\text{Im}(\xi)] \cdot [f_{\pi'}]. \quad (3.1)$$

In order to observe Δ it is useful to form an observable with an appropriate real weight function $w(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*)$. A CP-violating scalar quantity is then obtained as

$$\langle w\Delta \rangle = \int [w(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) \cdot \Delta] dq^2 ds_1 ds_2 d\cos \theta d\phi^*, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\langle X \rangle$ means the integration of the quantity X over the allowed phase space of q^2 , s_1 , s_2 , $\cos \theta$, and ϕ^* . The statistical significance of this observable can be determined by the quantity

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle w\Delta \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Sigma \rangle \cdot \langle w^2 \Sigma \rangle}}, \quad (3.3)$$

with Σ given in eq. (2.36). The number of τ leptons required to observe the effect at the 1- σ level is then

$$N_\tau = \frac{1}{\text{Br} \cdot \varepsilon^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

where Br denotes the branching ratio of the τ decay into three charged pions, which is 6.8%[19]. By appropriately choosing the weight function, w , the CP asymmetry $\langle w\Delta \rangle$

can be made large. The optimal weight function maximizing the quantity ε in eq. (3.3) is known[27] to be

$$w_{opt}(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) = \frac{\Delta(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*)}{\Sigma(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*)}. \quad (3.5)$$

We can also consider an observable with $w = \pm 1$ in phase space, which corresponds to the usual definition of an asymmetry. The distribution property of Δ in eq. (2.36) suggests that we should consider two types of CP-violating forward-backward asymmetries, A_{1FB} and A_{2FB} , whose weight functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) &= \text{sign}[\cos \theta], \\ w_2(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos \theta, \phi^*) &= \text{sign}[s_2 - s_1] \cdot \text{sign}[\cos \phi^*], \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

respectively. Note that the factor $\text{sign}[s_2 - s_1]$ is included in the definition of the A_{2FB} asymmetry because the CP-violating asymmetry with only $\text{sign}[\cos \phi^*]$ as a weight function vanishes due to Bose symmetry.

4 Models

In the SM CP violation arises from a nontrivial phase in the KM flavor-mixing matrix[8] in the hadronic charged current, but the KM-type CP violation can not be detected in τ decays. As possible new sources of CP violation detectable in the τ decay we consider new scalar-fermion interactions which preserve the symmetries of the SM. Then, it can be proven that only four types of scalar-exchange models[28] contribute to the decay $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$. One of them is the multi-Higgs-doublet (MHD) model[6, 24] and the other three models are scalar-leptoquark (SLQ) models[29, 25].

4.1 Multi-Higgs-doublet (MHD) models

In this subsection we consider a MHD model with n Higgs doublets. The Yukawa interaction of the MHD model is

$$\mathcal{L}_{MHD} = \bar{Q}_{L_i} F_{ij}^D \Phi_d D_{R_j} + \bar{Q}_{L_i} F_{ij}^U \tilde{\Phi}_u U_{R_j} + \bar{L}_{L_i} F_{ij}^E \Phi_e E_{R_j} + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.1)$$

Here Q_{L_i} denotes left-handed quark doublets, and L_{L_i} denotes left-handed lepton doublets. D_{R_i} (U_{R_i}) and E_{R_i} are for right-handed down (up) quark singlets and right-handed charged lepton singlets, respectively. The sub-index i is a generation index ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Φ_j ($j = 1$ to n) are n Higgs doublets and $\tilde{\Phi}_j = i\sigma_2 \Phi_j^*$. Sub-indices d , u and e denote the Higgs doublets that couple to down-type quarks, up-type quarks and charged leptons, respectively. F^U and F^D are general 3×3 Yukawa matrices of which one matrix can be taken to be real and diagonal. Since neutrinos are massless F^E can be chosen real and

diagonal. The MHD model has $2(n - 1)$ charged and $2n - 1$ neutral physical scalars, and the Yukawa interactions of the $2(n - 1)$ physical charged scalars with fermion mass eigenstates read

$$\mathcal{L}_{MHD} = \sqrt{2\sqrt{2}G_F} \sum_{i=2}^n \left[X_i(\bar{U}V M_D D_R) + Y_i(\bar{U}_R M_U V D_L) + Z_i(\bar{N}_L M_E E_R) \right] H_i^+ + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.2)$$

Here M_D , M_U and M_E denote the diagonal mass matrices of down-type quarks, up-type quarks and charged leptons, respectively. H_i^+ the positively charged Higgs particles. N_L are for left-handed neutrino fields and V for the KM matrix. X_i , Y_i and Z_i are complex coupling constants which arise from the mixing matrix for charged scalars.

Within the framework of the MHD model, CP violation in charged scalar exchange can arise for more than two Higgs doublets[30, 31]. There are two mechanisms which give rise to CP violation in the scalar sector. In one mechanism[32, 30] CP symmetry is maintained at the Lagrangian level but broken through complex vacuum expectation values. However, this possibility has been shown to have some phenomenological difficulties[33, 6]. In the other mechanism CP is broken by complex Yukawa couplings and possibly by complex vacuum expectation values so that CP violation can arise from both charged scalar exchange and from $W^\pm$ exchange. CP violation in both mechanisms is commonly manifest in phases that appear in the combinations XY^* , XZ^* and YZ^* .

One crucial condition for CP violation in the MHD model is that not all the charged scalars should be degenerate. Then, without loss of generality and for simplicity, we can assume that all but the lightest of the charged scalars effectively decouple from fermions. The couplings of the lightest charged scalar to fermions are described by a simple Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{MHD} = \sqrt{2\sqrt{2}G_F} \left[X(\bar{U}V M_D D_R) + Y(\bar{U}_R M_U V D_L) + Z(\bar{N}_L M_E E_R) \right] H^+ + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.3)$$

This Lagrangian gives the effective Lagrangian for the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{MHD} = 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{ud}^* m_\tau \left[m_d \frac{X^* Z}{M_H^2} (\bar{u}_L d_R)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau L} \tau_R) + m_u \frac{Y^* Z}{M_H^2} (\bar{u}_R d_L)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau L} \tau_R) \right], \quad (4.4)$$

at energies which are low compared to the mass of the charged Higgs boson. Then one can show that the contribution from the MHD model in the $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ decay distribution of eq. (2.1) is represented by the parameters

$$\chi_{MHD} = 0, \quad \eta_{MHD} = \frac{m_d}{M_H^2} \left[X^* Z - \left(\frac{m_u}{m_d} \right) Y^* Z \right], \quad (4.5)$$

and CP violation in the MHD model is determined by the parameter

$$\text{Im}(\xi_{MHD}) = - \left(\frac{m_d}{m_u + m_d} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{M_H^2} \right) \left[\text{Im}(XZ^*) - \left(\frac{m_u}{m_d} \right) \text{Im}(YZ^*) \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

4.2 Scalar-leptoquark (SLQ) models

In this subsection we discuss CP-violating effects from leptoquark exchange. There are three types of SLQ models[28, 29] which can contribute to the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ at the tree level. The quantum numbers of the three leptoquarks under the gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1 &= \left(3, 2, \frac{7}{6}\right) \quad (\text{model I}), \\ \Phi_2 &= \left(3, 1, -\frac{1}{3}\right) \quad (\text{model II}), \\ \Phi_3 &= \left(3, 3, -\frac{1}{3}\right) \quad (\text{model III}),\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

respectively. The hypercharge Y is defined to be $Q = I_3 + Y$. The Yukawa couplings of the leptoquarks to fermions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{SLQ}^I &= [-x_{ij}\bar{Q}_{L_i}i\tau_2 E_{R_j} + x'_{ij}\bar{U}_{R_i}L_{L_j}]\Phi_1 + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{SLQ}^{II} &= [y_{ij}\bar{Q}_{L_i}i\tau_2 L_{L_j}^c + y'_{ij}\bar{U}_{R_i}E_{R_j}^c]\Phi_2 + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{SLQ}^{III} &= z_{ij}[\bar{Q}_{L_i}i\tau_2 \vec{\tau} L_{L_j}^c] \cdot \vec{\Phi}_3 + \text{h.c.}\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Here the coupling constants $x_{ij}^{(\prime)}$, $y_{ij}^{(\prime)}$ and z_{ij} are complex when CP violation arises from the Yukawa interactions. $\bar{Q}_{L_i} = (\bar{u}_i, \bar{d}_i)_L$ and $L_{L_i} = (\bar{\nu}_i, \bar{e}_i)_L$. The superscript c denotes charge conjugation, i.e. $\psi_{R,L}^c = i\gamma^0\gamma^2\bar{\psi}_{R,L}^T$ for a spinor field ψ . $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ and τ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the Pauli matrices. In terms of the charge component of the leptoquarks, the Lagrangian relevant to the $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ decay is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{SLQ}^I &= [x_{13}\bar{d}_L\tau_R + x'_{13}\bar{u}_R\nu_{\tau_L}]\phi_1^{(2/3)} + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{SLQ}^{II} &= [-y_{13}(\bar{u}_L\tau_L^c - \bar{d}_L\nu_{\tau_L}^c) + y'_{13}\bar{u}_R\tau_R^c]\phi_2^{(-1/3)} + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{SLQ}^{III} &= -z_{13}[\bar{u}_L\tau_L^c + \bar{d}_L\nu_{\tau_L}^c]\phi_3^{(-1/3)} + \text{h.c.}\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

After Fierz rearrangement we obtain the effective SLQ Lagrangians for the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{eff}^I &= -\frac{x_{13}x_{13}^{\prime*}}{2M_{\phi_1}^2} \left[(\bar{d}_L u_R)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau_L} \tau_R) + \frac{1}{4}(\bar{d}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau_L} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \tau_R) \right] + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{eff}^{II} &= -\frac{y_{13}y_{13}^{\prime*}}{2M_{\phi_2}^2} \left[(\bar{d}_L u_R)(\bar{\tau}_R^c \nu_{\tau_L}^c) + \frac{1}{4}(\bar{d}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R)(\bar{\tau}_R^c \sigma_{\mu\nu} \nu_{\tau_L}^c) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{|y_{13}|^2}{2M_{\phi_2}^2} (\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu u_L)(\bar{\tau}_L^c \gamma^\mu \nu_{\tau_L}^c) + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{eff}^{III} &= -\frac{|z_{13}|^2}{2M_{\phi_3}^2} (\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu u_L)(\bar{\tau}_L^c \gamma^\mu \nu_{\tau_L}^c) + \text{h.c.}\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

The tensor part as well as the scalar part in Model I and Model II can contribute to the $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$ decay. For simplicity, however, we consider only the scalar contribution in the present work. Then the size of new contributions from these three SLQ models is parametrized by the parameters (2.1)

$$\chi_{SLQ}^I = 0, \quad \eta_{SLQ}^I = -\frac{x_{13}x_{13}^{'*}}{2M_{\phi_1}^2}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\chi_{SLQ}^{II} = -\frac{|y_{13}|^2}{2M_{\phi_2}^2}, \quad \eta_{SLQ}^{II} = -\frac{y_{13}y_{13}^{'*}}{2M_{\phi_2}^2}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\chi_{SLQ}^{III} = \frac{|z_{13}|^2}{2M_{\phi_2}^2}, \quad \eta_{SLQ}^{III} = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Note that the vector-type interaction terms have only real coupling constants, and thus they cannot generate any CP violating effects. In particular, Model III does not contribute to CP violation in the decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ so that the model will no longer be considered¹. In Model I and Model II, the parameters governing CP violation are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\xi_{SLQ}^I &= -\frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{(m_u + m_d)m_\tau} \cdot \frac{\text{Im}[x_{13}x_{13}^{'*}]}{4\sqrt{2}G_F M_{\phi_1}^2}, \\ \text{Im}\xi_{SLQ}^{II} &= -\frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{(m_u + m_d)m_\tau} \cdot \frac{\text{Im}[y_{13}y_{13}^{'*}]}{4\sqrt{2}G_F M_{\phi_2}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

respectively, where all CP-conserving contributions from new physics are neglected in the normalization. This is justified because the contributions from new physics are expected to be small compared to those from the SM.

4.3 Phenomenological constraints

The constraints on the CP-violation parameters, (4.6) and (4.14), depend upon the values chosen for the u and d quark masses. In the present work we use for the light u and d quark masses[34]

$$m_u = 5.0\text{MeV}, \quad m_d = 9.0\text{MeV}, \quad (4.15)$$

and for the W boson mass we use $M_W = 80$ GeV. Inserting these values in (4.6) and (4.14) we obtain

$$\text{Im}(\xi_{MHD}) \simeq -1.7 \times 10^{-4} \frac{[\text{Im}(XZ^*) - (5/9)\text{Im}(YZ^*)]}{(M_H/M_W)^2}, \quad (4.16)$$

¹However, in the semileptonic decays $\tau \rightarrow K\pi\pi\nu_\tau$ and $\tau \rightarrow K\pi K\nu_\tau$, the vector-type interaction terms from Model II and Model III in principle can have complex couplings to generate CP-violating effects.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^I) \\ \text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^{II}) \end{bmatrix} \simeq -7.5 \times 10^2 \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{M_W}{M_{\phi_1}}\right)^2 \text{Im}(x_{13}x_{13}^*) \\ \left(\frac{M_W}{M_{\phi_2}}\right)^2 \text{Im}(y_{13}y_{13}^*) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.17)$$

Clearly, sizable CP-violating effects require that $\text{Im}(XZ^*)$ and $\text{Im}(YZ^*)$ are large and M_H is small compared to M_W in the MHD model, and similarly that $\text{Im}(x_{13}x_{13}^*)$ and $\text{Im}(y_{13}y_{13}^*)$ are large and M_{ϕ_i} ($i = 1, 2$) are small compared to M_W in the SLQ models.

In the MHD model the strongest constraint[6] on $\text{Im}(XZ^*)$ comes from the measurement of the branching ratio $\text{Br}(B \rightarrow X\tau\nu_\tau)$ which actually gives a constraint on $|XZ|$. For $M_H < 440$ GeV, the bound on $\text{Im}(XZ^*)$ is given by

$$\text{Im}(XZ^*) < |XZ| < 0.23M_H^2\text{GeV}^{-2}. \quad (4.18)$$

On the other hand, the bound[6] on $\text{Im}(YZ^*)$ is mainly given by $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$. The present bound is

$$\text{Im}(YZ^*) < |YZ| < 110 \text{ for } m_t = 140\text{GeV} \text{ and } M_H = 45\text{GeV}. \quad (4.19)$$

Combining the above bounds and assuming $M_H = 45\text{GeV}$, we obtain the bound on $\text{Im}(\xi_{MHD})$ as

$$|\text{Im}(\xi_{MHD})| < 0.28. \quad (4.20)$$

The constraints on the leptoquark couplings can be obtained through several rare processes[35, 36, 37, 25]. As a typical bound we find from the experimental bound $\text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \mu e) < 3.3 \times 10^{-11}$ [38] for the branching ratio of the lepton-family-number-violating process $K_L \rightarrow \mu e$,

$$\frac{|x_{21}x_{12}^*|}{M_{\phi_1}^2} < 3 \times 10^{-11}\text{GeV}^{-2}, \quad (4.21)$$

and from $\Gamma(\mu\text{Ti} \rightarrow e\text{Ti})/\Gamma(\mu\text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture}) < 4.3 \times 10^{-12}$ [39, 38],

$$\frac{|y_{11}y_{12}^*|}{M_{\phi_2}^2} < 1.9 \times 10^{-11}\text{GeV}^{-2}. \quad (4.22)$$

On the other hand, the helicity-suppressed π_{e_2} decay[40] can set a strong bound on $|x_{11}x_{11}^*|$ and $|y_{11}y_{11}^*|$ under the assumption that $\text{Im}(x_{11}x_{11}^*) \sim \text{Re}(x_{11}x_{11}^*)$ and $\text{Im}(y_{11}y_{11}^*) \sim \text{Re}(y_{11}y_{11}^*)$. Using the experimental value $R_{exp} = (1.230 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-4}$ [38] and the SM value $R = 1.235 \times 10^{-4}$ [41] for the ratio of $\text{B}(\pi \rightarrow e\nu_e(\gamma))$ to $\text{B}(\pi \rightarrow \mu\nu_\mu(\gamma))$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\text{Im}(x_{11}x_{11}^*)|}{M_{\phi_1}^2} &\sim \frac{|\text{Re}(x_{11}x_{11}^*)|}{M_{\phi_1}^2} < 7 \times 10^{-11}\text{GeV}^{-2}, \\ \frac{|\text{Im}(y_{11}y_{11}^*)|}{M_{\phi_2}^2} &\sim \frac{|\text{Re}(y_{11}y_{11}^*)|}{M_{\phi_2}^2} < 7 \times 10^{-11}\text{GeV}^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

If we assume $\text{Im}(x_{13}x'_{13}) \sim \text{Im}(x_{21}x'_{12}) \sim \text{Im}(x_{11}x'_{11})$ and $\text{Im}(y_{13}y'_{13}) \sim \text{Im}(y_{11}y'_{12}) \sim \text{Im}(y_{11}y'_{11})$, then we obtain from (4.21) and (4.22) the following constraints:

$$|\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^I)| < 1.44 \times 10^{-3}, \quad |\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^{II})| < 0.90 \times 10^{-3}. \quad (4.24)$$

Compared to the constraint (4.20) on $\text{Im}(\xi_{MHD})$, the constraints (4.24) on these SLQ CP-violation parameters are much more severe such that the SLQ models require a larger number of τ leptons than are required by the MHD model to detect any effects of CP violation. However, we should mention here that the strong constraints (4.24) of the leptoquark couplings are based on the assumption that all the leptoquark Yukawa couplings are of the same size. This assumption can fail in a class of leptoquark models where the lepton-family-number symmetry and the electron chirality are softly broken. In such leptoquark models one possible scenario is that the lepton-family-number-conserving couplings are much larger than the lepton-family-number-violating couplings, and also the couplings involving the third lepton generation (τ and ν_τ) are much larger than those involving the first lepton generation (e and ν_e). In such a scenario the constraints (4.24) may be too stringent.

One direct constraint on $|x_{13}x'_{13}|$ and $|y_{13}y'_{13}|$ can be provided through the measurement of $B(\tau \rightarrow \pi\nu_\tau)$. We assume that $\text{Im}(x_{13}x'_{13}) \sim \text{Re}(x_{13}x'_{13})$ and $\text{Im}(y_{13}y'_{13}) \sim \text{Re}(y_{13}y'_{13})$. Then, employing the SM value $\Gamma = (2.480 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-13}$ GeV[41] and the experimental value $\Gamma_{exp} = (2.605 \pm 0.093) \times 10^{-13}$ GeV[38] for the $\tau \rightarrow \pi\nu_\tau$ decay width, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\text{Im}(x_{13}x'_{13})|}{M_{\phi_1}^2} &\sim \frac{|\text{Re}(x_{13}x'_{13})|}{M_{\phi_1}^2} < 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{GeV}^{-2}, \\ \frac{|\text{Im}(y_{13}y'_{13})|}{M_{\phi_2}^2} &\sim \frac{|\text{Re}(y_{13}y'_{13})|}{M_{\phi_2}^2} < 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{GeV}^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

It is clear that the direct constraints (4.25) are by far weaker than the indirect constraints, (4.21) and (4.22); therefore, the parameters $\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^I)$ and $\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^{II})$ can in principle be significantly larger than the upper bounds (4.24). We expect the precise measurement of $\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow \pi\nu_\tau)$ at future τ -Charm factories to give a stronger direct constraint on $|x_{13}x'_{13}|$ and $|y_{13}y'_{13}|$.

5 The π' decay constant

As clearly shown in section 2 every CP-violating observable requires interference between the a_1 mode and the π' mode, and thus the size of CP violation crucially depends on $f_{\pi'}$ which determines the coupling of the π' to the weak current. It is, therefore, important to make a reliable estimate of its magnitude.

The most commonly used value of the π' decay constant in the literature is obtained by a quark model calculation (the ‘mock’ meson model) by Isgur et al[16]. Especially, the

standard τ decay Monte-Carlo program, TAUOLA[14], quotes from Ref. [16] the value in the range

$$f_{\pi'} = 0.02 \sim 0.08 \text{ GeV}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $f_{\pi'} = 0.02 \text{ GeV}$ is used as its default value.

However, the decay constant of π' is suppressed by the chiral symmetry which is not taken into account in the mock-meson calculation, and thus the value quoted in the TAUOLA might be overestimated. In this section we reconsider $f_{\pi'}$ in the chiral Lagrangian framework and show that it actually vanishes in the chiral limit due to the mixing[42] of π' with the chiral pion field. $f_{\pi'}$ is then proportional to the square of the pion mass m_π and may hence be much smaller than (5.1).

In order to establish notations we start with the usual chiral Lagrangian with the flavor $\text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{SU}(2)_R$ symmetry[43];

$$\mathcal{L} = f^2 \text{tr}(\alpha_{\mu\perp} \alpha_\perp^\mu) + \frac{f^2}{4} \text{tr}(\hat{\chi} + \hat{\chi}^\dagger), \quad (5.2)$$

where the matrix-valued $\hat{\chi}$ and the Maurer-Cartan 1-form $\alpha_{\mu\perp}$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi} &= 2b\xi_L(s + ip)\xi_R^\dagger, \\ \alpha_{\mu\perp} &= -\frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_\mu \xi_L \cdot \xi_L^\dagger + i\xi_L L_\mu \xi_L^\dagger - \partial_\mu \xi_R \cdot \xi_R^\dagger - i\xi_R R_\mu \xi_R^\dagger \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

with the chiral fields ξ_L and ξ_R given by

$$\xi_R = \xi_L^\dagger = \exp \left[\frac{i\pi^a T^a}{f} \right], \quad (5.4)$$

and π^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) and T^a are the Nambu-Goldstone fields and the flavor $\text{SU}(2)$ group generators, respectively. The parameter b is given in terms of u -quark condensate $\langle \bar{u}u \rangle$ and the π decay constant f by $b = -\langle \bar{u}u \rangle / f^2 \simeq 1.3 \text{ GeV}$. The chiral fields ξ_L and ξ_R transform under $\text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{SU}(2)_R$ as

$$\xi_L \rightarrow \xi'_L = h\xi_L g_L^\dagger, \quad \xi_R \rightarrow \xi'_R = h\xi_R g_R^\dagger, \quad (5.5)$$

where the $\text{SU}(2)$ group element h is introduced to maintain the relation $\xi'_R = \xi'^{\dagger}_L$. In the Lagrangian (5.2) we have also introduced external scalar and pseudoscalar fields, s and p , and external left- and right-handed flavor gauge fields, L_μ and R_μ [44]. In the real world the external scalar and pseudoscalar fields are fixed to be $s = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d)$ and $p = 0$.

We next describe how to introduce a massive pseudoscalar π' in the chiral Lagrangian formalism to discuss its physical properties. Since π' is not a Nambu-Goldstone boson it should be treated as a matter field transforming as

$$P \rightarrow hPh^\dagger, \quad (5.6)$$

where P is the π' field. The low-energy effective Lagrangian of π and π' with the lowest derivatives can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_P = \mathcal{L}_{\text{chiral}} + \text{tr}(D_\mu P D^\mu P) - M_P^2 \text{tr}(P^2) + iG_P \text{tr}((\hat{\chi} - \hat{\chi}^\dagger)P) + 2F_P \text{tr}(\alpha_{\mu\perp} D^\mu P), \quad (5.7)$$

where F_P and G_P are parameters describing the low-energy properties of the π' . The covariant derivative of the field P is given by

$$D_\mu P = \partial_\mu P - i[\alpha_{\mu\parallel}, P], \quad (5.8)$$

with the Maurer-Cartan 1-form

$$\alpha_{\mu\parallel} = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_\mu \xi_L \cdot \xi_L^\dagger + i\xi_L L_\mu \xi_L^\dagger + \partial_\mu \xi_R \cdot \xi_R^\dagger + i\xi_R R_\mu \xi_R^\dagger \right]. \quad (5.9)$$

First, we discuss the chiral limit where $\hat{\chi} = 0$. In this limit the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_P$ is expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_P = & \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \pi^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu P^a)^2 \\ & - \frac{F_P}{f}(\partial_\mu \pi^a)(\partial^\mu P^a) - \frac{M_P^2}{2}(P_a)^2 + f\partial_\mu \pi^a A^{a\mu} - F_P \partial_\mu P^a A^{a\mu} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where A_μ^a is an external axial vector field, $A_\mu^a = (R_\mu^a - L_\mu^a)/2$. Although the nonvanishing F_P in the last term of (5.10) seems to directly indicate the existence of the π' decay constant the existence of F_P simultaneously causes a kinetic mixing of π and P through the third term of (5.10), and thus we need to diagonalize the kinetic mixing so as to evaluate the actual size of the π' decay constant.

We resolve this kinetic mixing by the redefinition of fields:

$$\pi_0^a = \pi^a - \left(\frac{F_P}{f}\right) P^a, \quad P_0^a = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{F_P}{f}\right)^2} P^a. \quad (5.11)$$

We find that the field redefinition pushes the π' mass to a higher value,

$$m_{\pi'}^2 = \frac{M_P^2}{1 - \left(\frac{F_P}{f}\right)^2}, \quad (5.12)$$

and it forces the π' decay constant to vanish in the chiral limit:

$$f_{\pi'} = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Although our calculation is based on the effective Lagrangian with the lowest derivatives, the result (5.13) itself is rather general. Actually, we can show that the existence of the higher derivative terms do not change this result.

In the real world u and d masses are not zero and break the chiral symmetry explicitly. Including the π -mass term due to the finite u and d quark masses and using the previous field redefinition (5.11) we obtain the mass term of the π and π' chiral Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L}_M = -\frac{\hat{m}_\pi^2}{2}(\pi_0^a)^2 - \hat{m}_\pi^2 \frac{F_P + 2G_P}{f\sqrt{1 - F_P^2/f^2}} \pi_0^a P_0^a - \frac{\hat{m}_\pi^2}{2(1 - F_P^2/f^2)} \left(\frac{M_P^2}{\hat{m}_\pi^2} + 4 \frac{G_P F_P}{f^2} \right) (P_0^a)^2, \quad (5.14)$$

with $\hat{m}_\pi^2 = (m_u + m_d)b$. We diagonalize the Lagrangian (5.14) in the perturbation of $\hat{m}_\pi^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0^a &= \pi_{\text{phys}}^a - \hat{m}_\pi^2 \cdot \delta \cdot P_{\text{phys}}^a + \dots, \\ P_0^a &= P_{\text{phys}}^a + \hat{m}_\pi^2 \cdot \delta \cdot \pi_{\text{phys}}^a + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Plugging (5.15) into the Lagrangian (5.14) we find that the parameter δ removing the mixing term is

$$\delta = -\sqrt{1 - \frac{F_P^2}{f^2}} \cdot \left(\frac{F_P + 2G_P}{M_P^2 f} \right). \quad (5.16)$$

The complete diagonalization leads to

$$m_\pi^2 = \hat{m}_\pi^2, \quad m_{\pi'}^2 = \frac{M_P^2 + 4\hat{m}_\pi^2 G_P F_P / f^2}{1 - F_P^2 / f^2} \simeq \frac{M_P^2}{1 - F_P^2 / f^2}. \quad (5.17)$$

On the other hand, the meson coupling term to the axial vector current A_μ^a are written in terms of the physical fields π_{phys}^a and P_{phys}^a as

$$f A_\mu^a \partial^\mu \pi_0^a = f A_\mu^a \partial^\mu \pi_{\text{phys}}^a + \frac{\hat{m}_\pi^2}{M_P^2} \sqrt{1 - F_P^2 / f^2} (F_P + 2G_P) A_\mu^a \partial^\mu P_{\text{phys}}^a, \quad (5.18)$$

and then the π and π' decay constants directly read

$$f_\pi = f, \quad f_{\pi'} = \frac{m_\pi^2}{M_P^2} \sqrt{1 - F_P^2 / f^2} (F_P + 2G_P). \quad (5.19)$$

As a result, we obtain the following expression:

$$r_\pi \equiv \frac{f_{\pi'}^2 m_{\pi'}^4}{f_\pi^2 m_\pi^4} = \frac{F_P^2}{f_\pi^2 (1 - F_P^2 / f_\pi^2)} \left(1 + 2 \frac{G_P}{F_P} \right)^2. \quad (5.20)$$

It is now clear that $f_{\pi'}$ is proportional to the square of the pion mass m_π , and thus it is naturally expected that the value of $f_{\pi'}$ is small.

The parameters F_P and G_P are expected to be of the size of QCD scale. We thus naively expect

$$r_\pi = \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (5.21)$$

On the other hand, the value of the $f_{\pi'}$ quoted in TAUOLA (5.1) leads to a large value of r_π ,

$$r_\pi = 300 \sim 6000, \quad (5.22)$$

which clearly contradicts our naive expectation (5.21).

Although the precise values of those parameters cannot be determined within the chiral Lagrangian framework, we can estimate them from the QCD dynamics. In the present work, we employ the non-relativistic chiral quark (NRCQ) model of Manohar and Georgi[42] and QCD sum rules[45] to make a rough estimate of the parameters.

In the NRCQ model the lowest S-wave bound states of the chiral quarks are identified as ρ and π' . Neglecting the spin-dependent interaction we can take

$$M_P \simeq m_\rho = 0.773\text{GeV}. \quad (5.23)$$

Both G_P and F_P are calculated from the wave function at the origin. In the non-relativistic approximation we find the relation

$$2bG_P \simeq M_P F_P \quad (5.24)$$

On the other hand the value of F_P can be estimated from the relation

$$m_{\pi'}^2 \simeq \frac{M_P^2}{1 - F_P^2/f_\pi^2} = (1.3\text{GeV})^2, \quad (5.25)$$

with $f_\pi = 0.0933$ GeV. It is now straightforward to show that

$$r_\pi \simeq 4, \quad f_{\pi'} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-3}\text{GeV}. \quad (5.26)$$

Let us next discuss the evaluation of r_π with the QCD sum rule technique[45]. By using the operator product expansion of the isotriplet scalar current, $j_a^{(a_0)}$, and the pseudoscalar current, $j_a^{(\pi)}$, we find a sum rule,

$$\int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \text{Im}\Pi(s) \simeq 0, \quad (5.27)$$

where $\Pi(s)$ is defined by

$$\Pi(-q^2)\delta^{ab} \equiv i \int dx e^{iqx} \langle T \{ j_a^{(\pi)}(x) j_b^{(\pi)}(0) - j_a^{(a_0)}(x) j_b^{(a_0)}(0) \} \rangle, \quad (5.28)$$

and M is the scale of the Borel transform. Assuming the a_0 (isotriplet scalar meson), π and π' meson dominance we can derive an expression[45] for r_π ;

$$r_\pi = - \left(\frac{m_{a_0}^2 - m_\pi^2}{m_{a_0}^2 - m_{\pi'}^2} \right) \exp[-(m_\pi^2 - m_{\pi'}^2)/M^2], \quad (5.29)$$

from (5.27) and its first derivative of $1/M^2$. Inserting the π and π' masses and $m_{a_0} = 0.98$ GeV, we find that

$$r_\pi \simeq 7, \quad f_{\pi'} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}, \quad (5.30)$$

for the mass scale M around 1 GeV.

Note that despite their very different approaches, the NRCQ model[42] and the QCD sum rule[45] give a similar estimate of the r_π . According to those estimates one find $f_{\pi'} \approx (2 \sim 3) \times 10^{-3}$ GeV, which is much smaller than the value (0.02 GeV) quoted in TAUOLA. Considering possible uncertainties in our estimates we use in our numerical analysis a slightly broader range of $f_{\pi'}$:

$$f_{\pi'} = (1 \sim 5) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}. \quad (5.31)$$

Certainly a more exact value of $f_{\pi'}$ can be determined directly from experiment.

6 Numerical results

For the numerical analysis we use for the CP-violation parameter values in the MHD model and the SLQ models:

$$\text{Im}(\xi_{MHD}) = 0.28, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^I) = 1.44 \times 10^{-3}, \quad \text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^{II}) = 0.90 \times 10^{-3}, \quad (6.2)$$

which are maximally allowed values according to the constraints (4.20) and (4.24). We mention in passing once more that the constraints (4.24) on the leptoquark couplings may be too stringent in a class of leptoquark models where the leptoquark Yukawa couplings are significantly generation-dependent. For the π' decay constant we consider the range: $(1 \sim 5) \times 10^{-3}$ GeV as in eq. (5.31).

Fig. 2(a) shows the normalized differential decay width, $\Sigma^{-1}[d\Sigma/d\sqrt{q^2}]$, for the decay $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$ as a function of the (3π) invariant mass, $\sqrt{q^2}$. Note that it has almost one peak. The reason is not only because $m_{\pi'}$ and m_{a_1} are quite similar in magnitude, but also because the π' contribution is by far smaller than the a_1 contribution. This justifies the approximation where all CP-conserving contributions from new scalar-fermion interactions are neglected in the normalization. In Fig. 2(b) we present the two CP-violating differential forward-backward asymmetries, $dA_{1FB}/d\sqrt{q^2}$ and $dA_{2FB}/d\sqrt{q^2}$, as a function of the (3π) invariant mass $\sqrt{q^2}$. In this case we take $f_{\pi'} = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ GeV and $\text{Im}(\xi) = 0.28$. The two asymmetries have a similar dependence on $\sqrt{q^2}$, but it is clear that the asymmetry A_{1FB} is almost 4 times larger than the asymmetry A_{2FB} .

Table 1 shows the expected size of the integrated asymmetries A_{1FB} and A_{2FB} and the optimal asymmetry ε_{opt} along with the number of τ leptons, N^τ , required to obtain the 2σ signal in the MHD model and the two SLQ models for the CP-violation

parameter values (6.2) and for the π' decay constant in the range (5.31). The optimal asymmetry, ε_{opt} , is optimized with respect to the kinematic variables $(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos\theta, \phi^*)$ so that its expected size denotes the maximally obtainable asymmetry in the three-pion decay mode. However, we find that the CP-violating forward-backward asymmetry A_{1FB} is sizable, while the other asymmetry, A_{2FB} , is rather small. The A_{1FB} asymmetry and the corresponding values of N^τ given in Table 1 show that the CP-violating effects in the MHD model may be seen with less than 10^8 τ 's even for a rather small π' decay constant. To see the CP-violating effects with the asymmetries A_{1FB} and A_{2FB} in the SLQ models more than 10^{11} τ 's may be required. On the other hand, the ε_{opt} and the corresponding N^τ values show that the CP-violating effects from the MHD model can be detected with about 10^7 τ 's, while still more than 10^{10} τ leptons are required to see CP violation in the SLQ models. However, as previously mentioned, the CP-violating parameters $\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^I)$ and $\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^{II})$ may be considerably larger so that the required number of τ leptons could be significantly reduced. In light of the fact that about 10^7 and 10^8 τ leptons are produced yearly at B factories and τ -Charm factories, respectively, we conclude that CP violating effects for the MHD model may be seen at the τ -Charm factories through the CP-violating forward-backward asymmetry, A_{1FB} , and even at the B factories with the optimal asymmetry, ε_{opt} , for the π' decay constant in the range (5.31). Finally, we note that, if we take $f_{\pi'} = 0.02\text{GeV}$, the value quoted in TAUOLA, the number of τ leptons needed is reduced by a factor of at least 15.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the possibility of probing CP violation through the semileptonic decays $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi)\nu_\tau$ where two resonances, a_1 and π' , contribute. The interference of the a_1 and π' resonances leads to enhanced CP-violating asymmetries whose magnitude crucially depends on the π' decay constant $f_{\pi'}$. We made an estimate of $f_{\pi'}$ with a simplified chiral Lagrangian coupled to a massive pseudoscalar field, and we compared the estimates from the nonrelativistic chiral quark model and from the QCD sum rule with the estimate from the ‘mock’ meson model. We found that the mixing between the pion field and the massive pseudoscalar field renders $f_{\pi'}$ proportional to the square of the pion mass, m_π , and hence it is much smaller than the value quoted in the τ library, TAUOLA. We considered two CP-violating forward-backward asymmetries, A_{1FB} and A_{2FB} , and the optimal asymmetry, ε_{opt} , which is optimized with all the five kinematic variables $(q^2, s_1, s_2, \cos\theta, \phi^*)$. With these asymmetries we quantitatively estimated the size of CP violating effects from the multi-Higgs-doublet model and the scalar-leptoquark models. We found that while the scalar-leptoquark models may require more than 10^{10} τ leptons for the detection of any effect of CP violation, CP-violating effects from the multi-Higgs-doublet model may be seen at the 2σ level with about 10^7 τ leptons using the chiral Lagrangian estimate of $f_{\pi'} = (1 \sim 5) \times 10^{-3}$ GeV.

Acknowledgements

S.Y. Choi would like to thank the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (MESC) for the award of a visiting fellowship. The authors would like to thank R. Szalapski for carefully reading the paper. This work was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from MESC (No. 05228104).

References

- [1] J.J. Gomez-Cadenas, CERN-PPE/94-12, Presented at the Marbella Workshop on the Tau-Charm factory, Marbella, Spain, 1993; B.C. Barish and R. Stroynowski, Phys. Rept. 157 (1988) 1; K. Riles, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992) 7647; M.L. Perl, Rep. Prog. Phys. 55 (1992) 653.
- [2] Y.-S. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D 4 (1971) 2821.
- [3] S.Y. Pi and A.I. Sanda, Ann. Phys. (NY) 106 (1977) 16.
- [4] H. Kühn and F. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. B 236 (1984) 16; K. Hagiwara, A.D. Martin and D. Zeppenfeld, Phys. Lett. B 235 (1989) 198; S. Jadach and Z. Was, *in* Z physics at LEP1, eds. G. Altarelli, R. Kleiss and G. Verzegnassi, CERN report CERN 89-08, Vol. 1 (1990) p. 235; A. Rougé, Z. Phys. C 48 (1990) 77; B.K. Bullock, K. Hagiwara and A. D. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 3055; Nucl. Phys. B 395 (1993) 499; P. Privitera, Phys. Lett. B 308 (1993) 163.
- [5] D. Atwood, G. Eilam and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 492.
- [6] Y. Grossman, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 355 and references therein.
- [7] A.F. Falk, Z. Ligeti, M. Neubert and Y. Nir, Phys. Lett. B 326 (1994) 145; Y. Grossman and Z. Ligeti, *ibid.* B 332 (1994) 373.
- [8] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49 (1973) 652.
- [9] C.A. Nelson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4544.
- [10] T.-S. Tsai, SLAC preprint SLAC-PUB-6685 (1994).
- [11] U. Kilan, J.G. Körner, K. Schilcher and Y.L. Wu, Z. Phys. C 62 (1994) 413.
- [12] G. Eilam, J.L. Hewett, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 1979; D. Atwood, *et al.*, *ibid.*, 70 (1993) 1364.
- [13] D. Atwood and A. Soni, Z. Phys. C 64 (1994) 241; SLAC-PUB-6524 (1994); D. Atwood, *et al.*, SLAC preprint SLAC-PUB-6555 (1994).
- [14] S. Jadach, J.H. Kühn and Z. Was, Comput. Phys. Commun. 64 (1991) 275; M. Jezabek, Z. Was, S. Jadach and J.H. Kühn, *ibid.*, 70 (1992) 69; S. Jadach, Z. Was, R. Decker and J.H. Kühn, *ibid.*, 76 (1993) 361.
- [15] N.A. Tornqvist, Z. Phys. C 36 (1987) 695; C 40 (1988) 632; M.G. Bowler, Phys. Lett. B 209 (1988) 99; Yu.P. Ivanov, A.A. Osipov and M.K. Volkov, Z. Phys. C 49 (1991) 563.
- [16] N. Isgur, C. Morningstar and C. Reader, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 1357.
- [17] R. Decker, E. Mirkes, R. Sauer, and Z. Was, Z. Phys. C 58 (1993) 445.
- [18] W. Ruckstuhl, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 2132; W.B. Schmidke, *et al.*, *ibid.* 57 (1986) 527; H. Albrecht, *et al.*, Z. Phys. C 33 (1986) 7; H. Band, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 198 (1987) 297.

- [19] H. Albrecht, *et al.*, Z. Phys. C 58 (1993) 61.
- [20] A. Pich, CERN-TH-7066-93, Physics summary given at the Marbella Workshop on the Tau-Charm factory, Marbella, Spain, 1993 and references therein.
- [21] J.H. Kühn, Phys. Lett. B 313 (1993) 458.
- [22] J.H. Kühn and A. Santamaria, Z. Phys. C 48 (1990) 445.
- [23] J.H. Kühn and E. Mirkes, Phys. Lett. B 286 (1992) 381; Z. Phys. C 56 (1992) 661.
- [24] G.C. Branco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 504; C.H. Albright, J. Smith and S.-H.H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 711.
- [25] L.J. Hall and L.J. Randall, Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986) 157; J.F. Nieves, Nucl. Phys. B 189 (1981) 382.
- [26] K. Hagiwara and D. Zeppenfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986) 1.
- [27] D. Atwood and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 2405.
- [28] A.J. Davies and X.-G. He, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 225.
- [29] W. Buchmüller, R. Rückl and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 191 (1987) 44.
- [30] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 657.
- [31] S.L. Glashow and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 1958.
- [32] T.D. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 8 (1973) 1226; Phys. Rep. 9 (1974) 143.
- [33] P. Krawczyk and S. Pokorski, Nucl. Phys. B 364 (1991) 10; Y. Grossman and Y. Nir, Phys. Lett. B 313 (1993) 126.
- [34] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. 87 (1982) 77.
- [35] S. Davidson, D. Bailey and B.A. Campbell, Z. Phys. 61 (1994) 643.
- [36] G. Bélanger and C.Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 2789.
- [37] P. Castoldi, J.-M. Frère, and G.L. Kane, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 2633; R. Garisto and G.L. Kane, *ibid.*, D 44 (1991) 2038.
- [38] Particle Data Group, R.M. Barnett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1173.
- [39] C. Dohmen *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 317 (1993) 631.
- [40] O. Shanker, Nucl. Phys. B 204 (1982) 375; B 206 (1982) 253.
- [41] W.J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1994) 3629.
- [42] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B 234 (1984) 189; See also H. Georgi, Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory (Benjamin, New York, 1984).
- [43] For a review, see M. Bando, T. Kugo and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rep. 164 (1988) 217.
- [44] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), 158 (1984) 142; Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465.
- [45] S. Narison, N. Paver, and D. Treleani, Nuovo Cimento 74 (1983) 347.

Tables

Table 1 The expected size of the CP-violating forward-backward asymmetries, A_{iFB} ($i = 1, 2$), and the optimal asymmetry, ε_{opt} , and the number of τ leptons, N^τ , needed for detection at the 2σ level are determined for the range $f_{\pi'} = (1 \sim 5) \times 10^{-3} \text{GeV}$ with $\text{Im}(\xi_{MHD}) = 0.28$ in the MHD model, and $\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^I) = 1.44 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\text{Im}(\xi_{SLQ}^{II}) = 0.90 \times 10^{-3}$ in the two SLQ models.

Asymmetry	Size(%)	$N_{MHD}^\tau(10^7)$	$N_{SLQI}^\tau(10^{11})$	$N_{SLQII}^\tau(10^{11})$
A_{1FB}	$0.05 \sim 0.25$	$12 \sim 300$	$45 \sim 1125$	$120 \sim 3000$
A_{2FB}	$0.20 \sim 1.00$	$0.75 \sim 19$	$2.8 \sim 72$	$7.4 \sim 185$
ε_{opt}	$0.48 \sim 2.4$	$0.13 \sim 3.3$	$0.49 \sim 12$	$1.3 \sim 33$

Figures

Figure 1 The decay $\tau^- \rightarrow (3\pi)^- \nu_\tau$ viewed from the (a) τ^- and (b) $(3\pi)^-$ rest frames. The two frames are related by a Lorentz boost along the tau neutrino direction and through a rotation by θ with respect to the common y -axis.

Figure 2 (a) A plot of the normalized differential decay width, $\Sigma^{-1}[\mathrm{d}\Sigma/\mathrm{d}\sqrt{q^2}]$, as a function of the (3π) invariant mass, $\sqrt{q^2}$. (b) CP-violating asymmetries as a function of the (3π) invariant mass, $\sqrt{q^2}$, with the π' decay constant, $f_{\pi'} = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{GeV}$ as a reference value. The solid line is for the CP-violating forward-backward asymmetry, $\mathrm{d}A_{1FB}/\mathrm{d}\sqrt{q^2}$, and the long-dashed line is for the CP-violating forward-backward asymmetry, $\mathrm{d}\hat{A}_{2FB}/\mathrm{d}\sqrt{q^2}$.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9412203v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9412203v1>